

The Uniqueness of the Adjoint Operation. II

Scott H. Hochwald

Department of Mathematical Sciences

University of North Florida

Jacksonville, Florida 32216

Submitted by Ky Fan

ABSTRACT

Let H be a complex, finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let $L(H)$ denote the set of linear transformations mapping H into itself. For certain interesting subsets $A(H)$ of $L(H)$ [nonsingular transformations and $L(H)$ are examples], the functions $h: A(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ which have the properties $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ are characterized.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let H be a complex, finite-dimensional Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . Let $L(H)$ denote the set of linear transformations mapping H into itself, let $GL(H) \subset L(H)$ denote the set of nonsingular transformations, let $U(H) \subset GL(H)$ denote the set of unitary transformations (i.e. $UU^* = I = U^*U$), and let $SU(H) \subset U(H)$ denote the set of unitary transformations with determinant one. For the moment, let $A(H)$ denote one of these sets, and let $h: A(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in A(H)$. Recall that if $T \in L(H)$, then $T \geq 0$ means that $(Tx, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. What can be said about h ? Well, $h(S) = S^*$ always works, and $h(S) = S^{-1}$ works for appropriate choices of $A(H)$. The purpose of this paper is to characterize the functions h for any of the allowable $A(H)$'s. The results are as follows:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $h: SU(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in SU(H)$. If $h(I) \neq 0$, then $h(S) = S^*$ for all $S \in SU(H)$.*

Let \mathbb{T} denote the group under multiplication of complex numbers of modulus 1, and let \mathbb{R}^+ denote the group under multiplication of strictly positive real numbers.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $h: U(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in U(H)$. If $h(I) \neq 0$, then there exists a homomorphism $\tau: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ (τ need not be continuous) such that $h(S) = \tau(\det(S))S^*$ for all $S \in U(H)$.*

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\dim H \geq 2$, and let $A(H) \subseteq L(H)$ be a multiplicative semigroup which contains $GL(H)$ and a nonzero singular transformation. If $h: A(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ is a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in A(H)$, then either $h(T) = 0$ for all singular $T \in A(H)$ or $h(S) = S^*$ for all $S \in A(H)$.*

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $h: GL(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in GL(H)$. If $h(I) \neq 0$, then there exists a multiplicative homomorphism $\sigma: \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ (σ need not be continuous) such that either $h(S) = \sigma(\det(S))S^*$ for all $S \in GL(H)$ or $h(S) = \sigma(\det(S))S^{-1}$ for all $S \in GL(H)$.*

The paper concludes with an application of Theorem 4.1.

As the title of this paper suggests, there is another paper concerning this topic. This other paper [2] deals with infinite-dimensional, complex Hilbert spaces. The main result of that paper is a version of Theorem 3.1 which doesn't mention singular transformations.

Any results that appear in this paper without a reference can probably be found in [1].

2. UNITARY TRANSFORMATIONS

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $h: SU(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in SU(H)$. If $h(I) \neq 0$ then $h(I) = I$.*

Proof. Since $h(I)I \geq 0$ and $h(I \cdot I) = h(I)h(I)$, it follows that $h(I) = I$ if $\dim H = 1$. Let $\dim H \geq 2$. If $h(I)x \neq x$ for all nonzero vectors x , then $h(I)x = 0$ for all vectors x . This is true because $h(I)(h(I)y) = h(I)y$ for all $y \in H$. Thus, there exists a nonzero vector x_1 such that $h(I)x_1 = x_1$.

If $h(I)x = x$ for all $x \in H$, then the proof is complete. Suppose there exists a vector y_0 such that $h(I)y_0 \neq y_0$. Let $y_1 = y_0 - h(I)y_0$. Then (x_1, y_1)

$= (h(I)x_1, y_1) = (x_1, h(I)y_1)$ [as the first line of the proof shows $h(I)$ is self-adjoint] $= (x_1, 0) = 0$. Without loss of generality, it may be assumed that both x_1 and y_1 have length one. Let U be the transformation defined by $Ux_1 = -y_1$, $Uy_1 = x_1$ and $Uz = z$ for all vectors z orthogonal to both x_1 and y_1 . Then $U \in \text{SU}(H)$ (consider the matrix of U with respect to an orthonormal basis containing x_1 and y_1). $h(U)y_1 = 0$, as $h(U)y_1 = h(I \cdot U)y_1 = h(U)h(I)y_1$. Thus, $h(U)Ux_1 = 0$. This and the fact that $h(U)U$ is self-adjoint show that $U^*[h(U)]^*x_1 = 0$. Since U^* is nonsingular, it follows that $[h(U)]^*x_1 = 0$. Then $1 = (x_1, x_1) = (h(I)x_1, x_1) = (h(U^4)x_1, x_1) = (h(U)h(U^3)x_1, x_1) = (h(U^3)x_1, [h(U)]^*x_1) = 0$. ■

LEMMA 2.2 [2, Lemma 3.2]. *Let $h: \text{U}(H) \rightarrow \text{L}(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in \text{U}(H)$. If $h(I) \neq 0$, then $h(J) = J$ for all symmetries $J \in \text{U}(H)$ (i.e. $J = J^* = J^{-1}$).*

Proof (included to keep the paper self-contained). $h(I) = I$, as h satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1. $h(-I) = -I$ because $h(-I)(-I) \geq 0$, $I = h((-I)^2) = (h(-I))^2$, and the positive square root of a positive transformation is unique. This shows that $h(J) = J$ for all symmetries J when the dimension of H is one. Let $\dim H \geq 2$. If $J \neq \pm I$ is a symmetry on H , then there exists a nontrivial subspace K of H such that $J = I \oplus -I$ with respect to $K \oplus K^\perp$. Let $W = I \oplus iI$ with respect to $K \oplus K^\perp$ [$i = (-1)^{1/2}$]. Then $W \in \text{U}(H)$ and $W^2 = J$. Let

$$h(W) = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to $K \oplus K^\perp$. Since $h(W)W \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & iQ \\ R & iS \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Thus, $P \geq 0$, $iS \geq 0$, and $R = -iQ^*$. This shows that

$$h(W) = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ -iQ^* & S \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad h(J) = \begin{pmatrix} P^2 - iQQ^* & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $h(J)J \geq 0$, it follows that $P^2 - iQQ^* \geq 0$. Since $P^2 \geq 0$, it follows that iQQ^* is self-adjoint. Thus, $Q = 0$. This shows that

$$h(W)W = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & iS \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and thus} \quad h(W) = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}.$$

This leads to $I = h(I) = h(W^4)W^4 = [h(W)]^4W^4 = [h(W)W]^4$. This shows that $P^4 = I$ and $(iS)^4 = I$. Since $P \geq 0$ and $iS \geq 0$, it follows that $P = I$ and $iS = I$. Hence, $h(W) = W^*$ and $h(J) = J$, as $(W^*)^2 = J$. ■

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $h: U(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in U(H)$. If $h(I) \neq 0$ then $h(U) = U^*$ for all $U \in SU(H)$.*

Proof. If $\dim H = 1$, then this lemma follows from Lemma 2.1. Let $\dim H \geq 2$. By Lemma 2.2, it suffices to show that if $U \in SU(H)$, then there exist symmetries J_1, J_2, \dots, J_k such that $U = J_1 J_2 \cdots J_k$. From this it follows that $h(U) = h(J_k) \cdots h(J_2)h(J_1) = J_k \cdots J_2 J_1 = U^*$.

Since U is unitary, there exists an orthonormal basis for H such that $U = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with respect to this basis, where the λ_i 's are complex numbers of modulus one. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \bar{\lambda}_1, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \\ &\quad \times \text{diag}(1, \lambda_1 \lambda_2, \overline{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}, 1, \dots, 1) \cdots \\ &\quad \times \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{n-1}, \overline{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{n-1}}) \\ &\quad \times \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n). \end{aligned}$$

The last matrix is the identity matrix, because the determinant of U is one. Thus, $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a product of matrices of the form $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \lambda, \bar{\lambda}, 1, \dots, 1)$, where the modulus of λ is one. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \bar{\lambda} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

a product of symmetries, it follows that $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \lambda, \bar{\lambda}, 1, \dots, 1)$ can be written as a product of symmetries. ■

In the following it will be necessary to take n th roots of complex numbers, where n is the dimension of H . This is a problem, because $(bc)^{1/n}$ may not equal $b^{1/n}c^{1/n}$ for complex numbers b and c ; in fact, $b^{1/n}$ may not equal $b^{1/n}$. Nothing can be done about the first problem, but to remove the second problem, once a number has been given an n th root, that is its n th root anytime it is needed.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. If b is an n th root of unity, then $h(bI) = \bar{b}I$. This is true because $h(bI)bI \geq 0$ and $[h(bI)bI]^n = h((bI)^n)(bI)^n = h(I) = I$ (by Lemma 2.1). Since every positive transformation has a unique positive n th root, it follows that $h(bI)bI = I$. Hence $h(bI) = \bar{b}I$.

Define a function $g: U(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ by

$$g(U) = [\overline{\det(U)}]^{1/n} h\left(U [\overline{\det(U)}]^{1/n}\right) \quad \text{for all } U \in U(H).$$

(Note: the first paragraph of the proof shows that g is independent of the n th root that is chosen. See below for details.) This definition makes sense because $|\det(U)| = 1$ and

$$\det\left(U [\overline{\det(U)}]^{1/n}\right) = [\det(U)] [\overline{\det(U)}].$$

It follows immediately from the defining properties of h that $g(U)U \geq 0$. It is also true that $g(UV) = g(V)g(U)$. Here are the details:

$$\begin{aligned} g(UV) &= [\overline{\det(UV)}]^{1/n} h\left(UV [\overline{\det(UV)}]^{1/n}\right) \\ &= \left\{ [\overline{\det(U)}]^{1/n} [\overline{\det(V)}]^{1/n} e^{2i\pi m/n} \right\} \\ &\quad \times h\left(UV [\overline{\det(U)}]^{1/n} [\overline{\det(V)}]^{1/n} e^{2i\pi m/n}\right) \\ &\quad \text{(for some integer } m) \\ &= [\overline{\det(V)}]^{1/n} h\left(V [\overline{\det(V)}]^{1/n}\right) \\ &\quad \times [\overline{\det(U)}]^{1/n} h\left(U [\overline{\det(U)}]^{1/n}\right) e^{2i\pi m/n} h(e^{2i\pi m/n} I) \\ &= g(V)g(U). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(I) = h(I) \neq 0$, it follows that g satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3. Thus, $g(S) = S^*$ for all $S \in SU(H)$. This shows that $h(S) = S^*$ for all $S \in SU(H)$. ■

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $A(H) \subseteq L(H)$ be a multiplicative semigroup which contains $U(H)$. Let $h: A(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in A(H)$. If $\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I \in A(H)\}$,*

then there exists a multiplicative homomorphism $\nu: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $h(\lambda I) = \nu(\lambda)I$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. If $h(I) = 0$, the lemma is proved. If $h(I) \neq 0$ then $h(I) = I$ (by Lemma 2.1), and thus the lemma is proved if $\dim H = 1$. Let $\dim H \geq 2$. If $J \in U(H)$ is a symmetry, then $Jh(\lambda I) = h(J)h(\lambda I)$ (by Lemma 2.2) $= h(\lambda J) = h(\lambda I)h(J) = h(\lambda I)J$. This shows that $h(\lambda I)$ commutes with all symmetries in $L(H)$. Thus, there exists a complex number $\nu(\lambda)$ such that $h(\lambda I) = \nu(\lambda)I$. Since $\nu(\lambda\mu)I = h(\lambda\mu I) = h(\lambda I)h(\mu I) = \nu(\lambda)\nu(\mu)I$, it follows that ν is multiplicative. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.2. If $S \in U(H)$ then

$$S \overline{[\det(S)]^{1/n}} \in \text{SU}(H).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} h(S) &= h\left(I[\det(S)]^{1/n}\right)\left(S \overline{[\det(S)]^{1/n}}\right)^* \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3}) \\ &= h\left(I[\det(S)]^{1/n}\right)S^*[\det(S)]^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since S is invertible, it follows that the transformation

$$h\left(I[\det(S)]^{1/n}\right)[\det(S)]^{1/n}$$

is independent of the n th root of $\det(S)$ that is chosen. Define τ by $\tau(\det(S))I = h(I[\det(S)]^{1/n})[\det(S)]^{1/n}$. The domain of τ is \mathbb{T} . The range of τ is contained in \mathbb{R}^+ , because

$$h\left(I[\det(S)]^{1/n}\right)I[\det(S)]^{1/n} \geq 0,$$

$h(I[\det(S)]^{1/n})$ is a scalar multiple of I (by Lemma 2.4), and

$$\begin{aligned} I &= h\left(I[\det(S)]^{1/n}\right)\overline{[\det(S)]^{1/n}} \\ &= h\left(I[\det(S)]^{1/n}\right)h\left(I[\det(S)]^{1/n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

τ is well defined because $h(I[\det(S)]^{1/n})[\det(S)]^{1/n}$ is independent of the n th root of $\det(S)$ that is chosen. Thus, $h(S) = \tau(\det(S))S^*$. To complete the

proof of this theorem, it must be demonstrated that τ is multiplicative. Well,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau(\det(S)\det(T))I &= \tau(\det(ST))I \\
 &= h(I[\det(ST)]^{1/n})[\det(ST)]^{1/n} \\
 &= h(I[\det(T)]^{1/n}[\det(S)]^{1/n}e^{2i\pi m/n}) \\
 &\quad \times [\det(S)]^{1/n}[\det(T)]^{1/n}e^{2i\pi m/n} \quad (\text{for some integer } m) \\
 &= \{h(I[\det(S)]^{1/n}e^{2i\pi m/n})[\det(S)]^{1/n}e^{2i\pi m/n}\} \\
 &\quad \times \{h(I[\det(T)]^{1/n})[\det(T)]^{1/n}\} \\
 &= \tau(\det(S))\tau(\det(T))
 \end{aligned}$$

as $(\det(S))^{1/n}e^{2i\pi m/n}$ is an n th root of $\det(S)$. ■

3. THE CURIOUS ROLE PLAYED BY SINGULAR TRANSFORMATIONS

LEMMA 3.1 [2, Corollary 3.1]. *Let $A(H) \subseteq L(H)$ be a multiplicative semigroup which contains $U(H)$, and let $h: A(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in A(H)$. If $h(I) \neq 0$, then each orthogonal (i.e. self-adjoint) projection P commuting with an element S of $A(H)$ also commutes with $h(S)$.*

Proof. The symmetry $J = 2P - I$ commutes with the same transformations as P . If h is applied to both sides of the equation $SJ = JS$, then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $Jh(S) = h(S)J$. ■

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $A(H) \subseteq L(H)$ be a multiplicative semigroup which contains $U(H)$, and let $h: A(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in A(H)$. If P is an orthogonal projection, then $h(P) = P$ or $h(P) = 0$.*

Proof. If $h(I) = 0$ then $h(S) = 0$ for all $S \in A(H)$. So suppose $h(I) \neq 0$. Then $h(I) = I$ by Lemma 2.1. $h(0) = 0$, because $h(0) = h(0(-I)) = h(-I)h(0) = -h(0)$ (by Lemma 2.2). This shows that the result is true if

$\dim H = 1$. Let $\dim H \geq 2$, and let $O \neq P \neq I$ be an orthogonal projection. Then there exists a nontrivial subspace K of H such that $P = I \oplus O$ with respect to $K \oplus K^\perp$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $h(P) = P_1 \oplus P_2$ with respect to $K \oplus K^\perp$. If $J = I \oplus -I$ then $h(JP) = h(P)J$ (by Lemma 2.2) $= P_1 \oplus -P_2$ on the one hand, and $h(JP) = h(P) = P_1 \oplus P_2$ on the other hand. This shows that $h(P) = P_1 \oplus O$. Let $J \in L(K)$ be a symmetry. Then $J \oplus I$ is a symmetry which commutes with P . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $J \oplus I$ commutes with $P_1 \oplus O$. This implies that P_1 commutes with all symmetries in $L(K)$. Thus, there exists a complex number b such that $P = bI$. Since $[h(P)]^2 = h(P^2) = h(P)$, it follows that $b^2 = b$. ■

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let $A(H) \subseteq L(H)$ be a multiplicative semigroup which contains $GL(H)$, and let $h: A(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in A(H)$. If $h(R) = 0$ for some nonzero singular transformation $R \in A(H)$, then $h(T) = 0$ for all singular $T \in A(H)$.*

Proof. If $h(I) = 0$ or $\dim H = 1$, then the proof is complete. Let $\dim H \geq 2$ and $h(I) \neq 0$. Let $R \in A(H)$ be a nonzero singular transformation such that $h(R) = 0$. By the polar-decomposition theorem, there exists a unitary transformation U and a nonzero singular transformation $P \geq 0$ such that $R = UP$. This implies $h(P) = 0$, as $U^* \in A(H)$ and $h(P) = h(U^*UP) = h(R)h(U^*)$. Also, there exists an orthonormal basis for H such that $P = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m, 0, \dots, 0)$ with respect to it, where $\lambda_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ($m < n$). If

$$P_1 = \text{diag}(\lambda_1^{-1}, \lambda_2^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_m^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1)$$

with respect to the same basis, then $P_1 \in A(H)$, $Q = P_1P$ is a nonzero orthogonal projection, and $h(Q) = 0$.

Let $S_0 \in A(H)$ be a singular transformation of maximal rank. That is, if $S \in A(H)$ and S is singular, then $\text{rank } S \leq \text{rank } S_0$. The method used to construct Q can be used to construct Q_0 , an orthogonal projection which has the same rank as S_0 . Since $A(H)$ contains all the unitary transformations, it follows that $A(H)$ contains all the orthogonal projections with the rank of S_0 . Since $\text{rank } Q \leq \text{rank } S_0$, there exist orthogonal projections Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k with the rank of S_0 for some $k < n$ such that $Q = Q_1Q_2 \cdots Q_k$. Thus, $h(Q_k) \cdots h(Q_1) = h(Q) = 0$. It then follows from Lemma 3.2 that $h(Q_{i_0}) = 0$ for some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. If $T \in A(H)$ is any singular transformation, then there exists an orthogonal projection E of the same rank as S_0 such that $T = ET$. Also, there exists a unitary transformation W such that $E = WQ_{i_0}W^*$. Thus, $h(T) = 0$. ■

REMARK 3.1. Let $A(H)$ be as in Corollary 3.1. The proof of Corollary 3.1 shows that if $A(H)$ contains a nonzero singular transformation, then $A(H)$ contains all orthogonal projections of rank 1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Corollary 3.1, it may be assumed that $h(T) \neq 0$ for all nonzero singular T . Let S be an arbitrary element of $A(H)$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis for H . In the following, all matrices are written with respect to this basis. Let the matrix of S be (s_{ij}) . It may be assumed without loss of generality that $|s_{ij}| \leq 1$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The reason is that if $N > 1$ then $I = h(I) = h(NI \cdot N^{-1}I) = h(N^{-1}I)h(NI)$. Thus, $h(N^{-1}I) = N^{-1}I$ implies $h(NI) = NI$. If $M > 1$ is large enough, then $|M^{-1}s_{ij}| \leq 1$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It then follows that $h(S) = h(M \cdot M^{-1}S) = (M^{-1}S)^* MI = S^*$.

Let b be an arbitrary entry in (s_{ij}) . Then $b = s_{mp}$ for some $m, p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If P_k is the orthogonal projection onto the span of $\{e_k\}$, then $P_k \in A(H)$ (by Remark 3.1) and the matrix of $P_m SP_p$ has zeros everywhere except possibly in the mp th position; the entry in the mp th position is b . To prove $h(S) = S^*$, it suffices to show that $t_{pm} = \bar{b}$, where $h(S) = (t_{ij})$. Let J be the transformation defined by $Je_p = be_m - (1 - |b|^2)^{1/2}e_p$, $Je_m = \bar{b}e_p + (1 - |b|^2)^{1/2}e_m$, $Je_k = e_k$ if $k \neq m$ or p . Since $|b| \leq 1$, it follows that J is a symmetry. Also, $P_m SP_p = P_m JP_p$. If h is applied to both sides of this equation, then it follows from Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 2.2 that $P_p h(S) p_m = P_p J P_m$. If each side of this equation is evaluated at e_m , then it follows that $t_{pm} e_p = be_p$. ■

4. NONSINGULAR TRANSFORMATIONS

LEMMA 4.1. Let $h: GL(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ be a function such that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in GL(H)$. If $h(I) \neq 0$, then there exists a multiplicative homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ (ψ need not be continuous) such that either $h(P) = \psi(\det(P))P$ for all nonsingular $P \geq 0$ or $h(P) = \psi(\det(P))P^{-1}$ for all nonsingular $P \geq 0$.

Proof. $h(T) \neq 0$ for all nonsingular T , as $I = h(TT^{-1})$. If $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ then $h(rI) = \nu(r)I$, where $\nu: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a homomorphism. This follows from Lemma 2.4 and the fact that $h(rI)rI \geq 0$. If $\dim H = 1$, then $\psi(P) = h(P)P$ works for all $P > 0$ or $\psi(P) = h(P)P^{-1}$ works for all $P > 0$. Let $\dim H \geq 2$. Let K be an $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace of H . In the following, all matrices

are written with respect to $K \oplus K^\perp$. Let $W: K \rightarrow K^\perp$, let

$$S_W = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ W & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and let

$$h(S_W) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ D & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, let

$$Q_r = \begin{pmatrix} rI & 0 \\ 0 & r^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, $h(Q_r) = \gamma(r) \oplus \delta(r)$ [here $\gamma(r)$ is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix and $\delta(r)$ is a complex number]. If $J \in L(K)$ is a symmetry, then $J \oplus 1$ commutes with Q_r . This implies that $\gamma(r) \oplus \delta(r)$ commutes with $J \oplus 1$ (by Lemma 2.2). Thus, $\gamma(r) = \zeta(r)I$, where $\zeta(r) \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $h(Q_r)Q_r \geq 0$ and $h(Q_r)$ is invertible [with inverse $h(Q_{1/r})$], it follows that $\zeta(r) > 0$ and $\delta(r) > 0$. Since $Q_{rs} = Q_r Q_s$, it follows that ζ and δ are multiplicative homomorphisms on \mathbb{R}^+ .

Let $0 < r < 1$ and let m be a nonnegative integer. Since $S_{r^{2m}W} = Q_{1/r^m} S_W Q_{r^m} = (Q_{1/r})^m S_W (Q_r)^m$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h(S_{r^{2m}W}) &= \begin{pmatrix} [\zeta(r)]^m I & 0 \\ 0 & [\delta(r)]^m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ D & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [\zeta(r)]^{-m} I & 0 \\ 0 & [\delta(r)]^{-m} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A & \left(\frac{\zeta(r)}{\delta(r)} \right)^m B \\ \left(\frac{\delta(r)}{\zeta(r)} \right)^m D & a \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $b_r = \zeta(r)/\delta(r)$. Then $b_r > 0$. Since $h(S_{r^{2m}W})S_{r^{2m}W} \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A + b_r^m r^{2m} B W & -b_r^m B \\ b_r^{-m} D + a r^{2m} W & -a \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

This shows that $a \leq 0$ and $-b_r^m B^* = b_r^{-m} D + a r^{2m} W$.

Can $b_r = 1$? If $b_r = 1$ then $-B^* = D + ar^{2m}W$. Since this is true for any integer $m \geq 0$, it follows that $a = 0$ and $-B^* = D$. Then

$$I = h(S_W^2) = [h(S_W)]^2 = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & -B^*B \end{pmatrix}$$

shows that $-B^*B = 1$. This is impossible. Thus, $b_r \neq 1$. It also follows that $a \neq 0$. That is, since $b_r \neq 1$, if $a = 0$ then $-b_r^m B^* = b_r^{-m} D + aW$ shows that $-b_r^{2m} B^* = D$. This implies that $B = 0$ and $D = 0$. This is impossible, as $h(S_W)$ is nonsingular.

Can $b_r > 1$? If $b_r > 1$, then $\| -B^* \| \leq b_r^{-2m} \| D \| + b_r^{-m} r^{2m} \| aW \|$ shows that $B^* = 0$, as the right side of the inequality can be made arbitrarily small. Thus, $b_r^{-m} D + ar^{2m} W = 0$. This shows that $-D = a(r^2 b_r)^m W$. This implies that $b_r = 1/r^2$ and $D = -aW$.

Can $b_r < 1$? If $b_r < 1$, then $\| -D \| \leq b_r^{2m} \| B^* \| + b_r^m r^{2m} \| aW \|$ shows that $D = 0$ as the right side of the inequality can be made arbitrarily small. Thus, $-b_r^m B^* = ar^{2m} W$. This shows that $-B^* = a(r^2 b_r^{-1})^m W$. This implies that $b_r = r^2$ and $B^* = -aW$.

It is impossible to have $b_{r_1} = r_1^2$ for some $0 < r_1 < 1$ and $b_{r_2} = 1/r_2^2$ for another $0 < r_2 < 1$, as this gives $D = 0$ and $B = 0$. (This implies $a = 0$.)

Case 1: $b_r = 1/r^2$ for all $0 < r < 1$. Then $h(Q_r) = \zeta(r)(I \oplus r^2)$ when $0 < r < 1$. Since $\zeta(1/r) = 1/\zeta(r)$ and $I = h(Q_r)h(Q_{1/r})$, it follows that $h(Q_{1/r}) = \zeta(1/r)(I \oplus 1/r^2)$ when $0 < r < 1$. Thus, $h(Q_r) = \zeta(r)(I \oplus r^2)$ for all $r > 0$. Let $V_r = I \oplus r$ with respect to $K \oplus K^\perp$. Then $V_r = r^{1/2} I \cdot Q_{1/r^{1/2}}$. Thus,

$$h(V_r) = \zeta(1/r^{1/2})(I \oplus 1/r)v(r^{1/2}) = v(r^{1/2})\zeta(1/r^{1/2})V_r^{-1}.$$

Let $\psi(r) = v(r^{1/2})\zeta(1/r^{1/2})$. Then $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, ψ is a multiplicative homomorphism, and $h(V_r) = \psi(\det(V_r))V_r^{-1}$. This is independent of the choice of K . That is, if K_1 is any $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace of H and $T_r = I \oplus r$ with respect to $K_1 \oplus K_1^\perp$, then there exists a unitary transformation U such that $T_r = UV_r U^*$. Thus $h(T_r) = h(U^*)h(V_r)h(U) = \tau(\det(U^*))\tau(\det(U))\psi(\det(V_r))UV_r^{-1}U^*$ (by Theorem 2.2) $= \psi(\det(T_r))T_r^{-1}$. Since every nonsingular $P \geq 0$ is a product of transformations of the form $I \oplus r$, it follows that $h(P) = \psi(\det(P))P^{-1}$.

Case 2: $b_r = r^2$ for all $0 < r < 1$. Then $h(Q_r) = \zeta(r)(I \oplus 1/r^2)$ when $0 < r < 1$. The steps in case 1 can be repeated here if $I \oplus p$ and $I \oplus 1/p$ replace each other whenever $p = r$ or $p = r^2$. It then follows that $h(V_r) = \psi(\det(V_r))V_r$. Thus, $h(P) = \psi(\det(P))P$ for all nonsingular $P \geq 0$. ■

Proof of Theorem 4.1. If $\dim H = 1$, then $\sigma(T) = h(T)T$ works for all $T \in \text{GL}(H)$ or $\sigma(T) = h(T)(T^*)^{-1}$ works for all $T \in \text{GL}(H)$. Let $\dim H \geq 2$. If $S, T \in \text{GL}(H)$, then there exist unique unitary transformations U_i and unique nonsingular transformations $P_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $S = U_1 P_1$, $T = U_2 P_2$, and $ST = U_3 P_3$.

Case 1: $h(P) = \psi(\det(P))P^{-1}$ for all nonsingular $P \geq 0$ (Lemma 4.1). Then $h(S) = \psi(\det(P_1))\tau(\det(U_1))P_1^{-1}U_1^*$ (by Theorem 2.2) $= \sigma(\det(S))S^{-1}$, where $\sigma(\det(S)) = \psi(\det(P_1))\tau(\det(U_1))$. Clearly, $\sigma: \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. To finish this case, it must be demonstrated that $\sigma(\det(S)\det(T)) = \sigma(\det(S))\sigma(\det(T))$. Well, $\sigma(\det(S))\sigma(\det(T)) = \psi(\det(P_1 P_2))\tau(\det(U_1 U_2))$ and $\sigma(\det(S)\det(T)) = \sigma(\det(ST)) = \psi(\det(P_3))\tau(\det(U_3))$. Since

$$\det(U_3)\det(P_3) = \det(U_1 U_2)\det(P_1 P_2),$$

$|\det(U_i)| = 1$, and $\det(P_i) > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, it follows that $\det(P_3) = \det(P_1 P_2)$ and $\det(U_3) = \det(U_1 U_2)$. Thus, $\sigma(\det(S)\det(T)) = \sigma(\det(S))\sigma(\det(T))$.

Case 2: $h(P) = \psi(\det(P))P$ for all nonsingular $P \geq 0$ (Lemma 4.1). Then $h(S) = \psi(\det(P_1))\tau(\det(U_1))P_1 U_1^*$ (by Theorem 2.2) $= \sigma(\det(S))S^*$. The analysis of σ done in case 1 also applies here. ■

5. AN APPLICATION

COROLLARY 5.1. *Let $\dim H \geq 2$. If b is a real number such that $b \neq \pm 1$, then there exist unitary transformations U_i and nonsingular transformations $P_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $U_1 P_1 U_2 P_2 = U_3 P_3$ but $P_3^b U_3^* \neq P_2^b U_2^* P_1^b U_1^*$.*

Proof. Let R be a nonsingular transformation with (unique) polar decomposition UP , where U is a unitary transformation and $P \geq 0$ is nonsingular. Let b be a real number. Define the function h by $h(R) = P^b U^*$. Then $h: \text{GL}(H) \rightarrow \text{GL}(H)$. Suppose that whenever unitary transformations U_i and nonsingular transformations $P_i \geq 0$ satisfy the equation $U_1 P_1 U_2 P_2 = U_3 P_3$, they also satisfy the equation $P_3^b U_3^* = P_2^b U_2^* P_1^b U_1^*$. This implies that $h(ST) = h(T)h(S)$ and $h(S)S \geq 0$ for all $S, T \in \text{GL}(H)$. It then follows from Theorem 4.1 that $b = \pm 1$. ■

REMARK 5.1. This result is true for bounded linear transformations on a complex, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. If H has dimension two, choose unitary transformations U_i and nonsingular transformations $P_i \geq 0$ that belong to $\text{GL}(H)$ and that satisfy the equation $U_1 P_1 U_2 P_2 = U_3 P_3$ but $P_3^b U_3^* \neq P_2^b U_2^* P_1^b U_1^*$. Then consider $I \oplus U_i$ and $I \oplus P_i$.

REFERENCES

- 1 P. R. Halmos, *Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces*, Springer, New York, 1974.
- 2 S. H. Hochwald, The uniqueness of the adjoint operation I, *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, Vol. 9, 1986.

Received 29 May 1986